

**OPERATING METHOD FOR AN AUTOMATED LANGUAGE  
RECOGNIZER INTENDED FOR THE SPEAKER-INDEPENDENT  
LANGUAGE RECOGNITION OF WORDS IN DIFFERENT LANGUAGES**

5

**BACKGROUND**

The method relates to an operating method of an automatic language recognizer for speaker-independent language recognition of words of different languages and a corresponding automatic language recognizer.

For phoneme-based language recognition, a language-recognition vocabulary is required, containing phonetic descriptions of all the words to be recognized. Typically, words are represented by sequences or chains of phonemes in the vocabulary. During a language recognition process, a search is conducted for the best path through various phoneme sequences found in the vocabulary. This search can, for example, take place by means of the Viterbi algorithms. For continuous language recognition, the probabilities for transitions between words can also be modeled and included in the Viterbi algorithm.

20

A phonetic transcription for the words to be recognized form the basis of phoneme-based language recognition. Therefore, at the start of a phoneme-based language recognition process, the first order is to obtain phonetic transcripts for the word. Phonetic transcripts can be generally defined as the phonetic descriptions of words from a target vocabulary. Obtaining phonetic transcripts particularly relevant for words that are not known to the language recognizer.

Mobile or cordless telephones are known that enable speaker-dependent name selection. In this case, a user of such a telephone must train the entries contained in the electronic telephone book of the telephone in order to be able to subsequently use the name selection by spoken word. Normally, no other user can

30

use this feature because the speaker-dependent name selection is suitable for only one person, i.e. for the person who has trained the language selection. To overcome this problem, the entries in the electronic telephone book can be changed to phonetic transcripts.

5

To determine the phonetic transcript from a written word, for example from a telephone book entry, various approaches are known in the art. One example is a dictating system that is used with a PC. With dictating systems of this kind, a lexicon of typically more than 10,000 words with an allocation of letter sequences to the phoneme sequences is normally stored. Because a lexicon of this kind requires a very high storage capacity, it is not practical for mobile terminal devices such as mobile or cordless telephones to wholly incorporate this configuration.

Systems are also known whereby the conversion of a word to its phonetic transcript is rule-based, or takes place using specially trained neural networks. As with the lexicon, this method also has one disadvantage that the language in which the phoneme sequences to be realized must be specified. In any case, names from different languages may be present, particularly in electronic telephone books. On a mobile device, converting words from different languages would be burdensome to wholly implement under the above configuration.

Other multilingual systems for determining phoneme sequences and language recognition have been developed. These systems enable phoneme sequences to be created from different languages.

25

Under still other configurations, a user speaks the words into a language recognition system that automatically generates sequences of phonemes. However, for large vocabularies, (e.g., an electronic telephone book with 80 entries), this is no longer acceptable for the user.

30

## **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

The present disclosure provides an operating system and method for an automatic language recognizer for speaker-independent language recognition of words from various languages and also a corresponding automatic language  
5 recognizer that is simple to implement, is particularly suitable for use in mobile terminal devices and can be realized at reasonable cost.

As an example, a method for voice recognition is provided including the steps of:

10 (a) determining the phonetic transcripts of words for  $N$  various languages, in order to obtain  $N$  first phoneme sequences per word corresponding to  $N$  first pronunciation variants;

(b) implementing a mapping of the phonemes of each language to the relevant phoneme set of the mother tongue;

15 (c) using the mapping implemented in step (b) to the  $N$  first phoneme sequences for each word determined in step (a), whereby for each word  $N$  second phoneme sequences corresponding to  $N$  second pronunciation variants are obtained that can be recognized by means of a mother tongue language recognizer; and

(d) creation of a language recognition vocabulary with the  $N$  second  
20 phoneme sequences per word, obtained in the preceding step, for the mother tongue language recognizer.

As another example, a system for voice recognition is provided including: a mother tongue language recognizer; a first processing module for determining the  
25 phonetic transcripts of words for  $N$  various languages in each case, in order to obtain  $N$  first phoneme sequences for each word corresponding to  $N$  first pronunciation variants; a second processing module for implementing a mapping of the phonemes of each language to the particular phoneme set of the mother tongue; a third processing module for applying the mapping, implemented by means of the  
30 second processing module, to the  $N$  first phoneme sequences for each word determined by means of the first processing module, with  $N$  second phoneme

sequences corresponding to  $N$  second pronunciation variants being obtained per word, that can be recognized by means of the mother tongue language recognizer; and a fourth processing module for creating a language recognizable vocabulary with the  $N$  second phoneme sequences per word, obtained by the third processing  
5 module, for the mother tongue language recognizer.

### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

The invention and its wide variety of potential embodiments will be more  
10 readily understood through the following detailed description, with reference to the accompanying drawing in which:

FIG. 1 is a schematic flow diagram of the input phase for creation of a language recognition vocabulary in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of  
15 the invention.

### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION**

Under an exemplary embodiment, phonetic transcripts of words for  $N$   
20 various languages is determined and then reprocessed and applied to a phoneme-based monolingual language recognizer. This procedure works under the assumption that a user of the voice recognizer normally speaks in his/her mother tongue. The user may also pronounce foreign-language words, such as names, with a mother-tongue nuance, (i.e. an accent), that can be roughly modeled by a mother-  
25 tongue language recognizer. The operating method is therefore based on a language defined as the mother tongue.

Each language can thus be described with different phonemes suitable for the particular language. It is known, however, that many phonemes in different  
30 languages resemble one another. An example of this is the "p" in English and German.

This fact is utilized in multilingual language recognition. In this case a single Hidden Markov model is created for the collection of languages, by means of which several languages can be recognized simultaneously. However, this leads to a very large Hidden Markov model with a lower recognition rate than a monolingual Hidden Markov model. Furthermore, if the collection of languages is extended, for example by a secondary language, a new Hidden Markov model has to be created, which is very expensive.

According to an exemplary embodiment, in a first step of the input phase for creation of a language recognition vocabulary of an operating procedure of an automated language recognizer for speaker-independent language recognition of words from various languages, particularly for the recognition of names from various languages, the phonetic transcripts of words for  $N$  various languages are determined in each case, in order to obtain  $N$  first phoneme sequences per word corresponding to  $N$  first pronunciation variants. In a second step, the similarities between the languages are utilized. To do this, a depiction of the phonemes of each language is implemented on the particular phoneme set of the mother tongue. Furthermore, in a third step the implemented depiction on the  $N$  first phoneme sequences determined in the first step is used for each word. In this way,  $N$  second phoneme sequences corresponding to  $N$  second pronunciation variants are obtained for each word. By means of the mother-tongue language recognizer, a number of  $N$  various languages can then be recognized for the mother-tongue language recognizer after creating a language-recognition vocabulary using the  $N$  second phoneme sequences per word obtained in the preceding step.

Whereas a look-up method in a lexicon configuration fails with mobile terminal devices because of the large memory requirement and for multilingual language recognition the set of languages was optimized, new Hidden Markov models have to be created and optimized for each new language by means of grapheme/phoneme conversion into several languages in accordance with the

invention, a multilingual system is created that can be implemented with relatively simple means. In addition to the grapheme-to-phoneme conversion, a mapping, i.e. a depiction between the individual languages, is implemented. The phoneme sequence determination and the succeeding mapping or depiction normally run  
5 offline on a device, for example a mobile telephone, a personal digital assistant or personal computer with corresponding software, and are therefore time uncritical. The resources required for this can be held in an internal/external memory.

Because the language recognition vocabulary created by means of the  
10 aforementioned procedure includes an  $N$  pronunciation variant for each word, the search effort during language recognition can be great. To reduce this, a further step can be introduced under the exemplary embodiment, that is performed before the creation of the language recognition vocabulary and after generation of the  $N$  second phoneme sequences per word. In this step, the  $N$  second phoneme sequences  
15 are processed corresponding to the  $N$  second pronunciation variants of each word, in that each second phoneme sequence is analyzed and classified by means of suitable distances, particularly the Levenshtein distance, and the  $N$  second phoneme sequences of each word are reduced to a few, preferably two to three phoneme sequences, in that the pronunciation variants that are least similar to the  
20 pronunciation variants of the mother tongue are omitted. Simply expressed, the least important pronunciation variants are omitted by this reduction, thus reducing the search effort during language recognition.

A further reduction in cost can be achieved in that a language identification  
25 and reduction is carried out before the first step. As part of this language identification, the probability for each word to be recognized belonging to each of the  $N$  various languages is determined. Using the results of this language identification, the number of languages to be processed in the first step of the method is reduced, preferably to two or three different languages. This The  
30 languages with the least probability are not further processed. For a specific word, the result of the language identification can, for example, be as follows: "German

55%, UK English 16%, US English 14%, Swedish 3%, ... . Under this example, if only three languages are desired, the Swedish language is omitted, i.e. not further processed.

5           The determination of the phonetic transcripts in the first step of the method takes place preferably by means of at least one neural network. Neural networks have proved suitable for determining phonetic transcripts from written words, because they produce good results with regards to accuracy, and particularly with regard to the speed of processing and can be easily implemented, particularly in  
10       software.

          A Hidden Markov model, particularly one that has been created for the language defined as a mother tongue, is suitable for use as a mother tongue language recognizer.

15

          The exemplary embodiment of the invention relates to a language recognizer for speaker-independent language recognition of words from various languages, particularly for recognizing names from various languages. In this case, one of the various languages is defined as the mother tongue. The language  
20       recognizer includes:

- a mother tongue language recognizer,
- a first processing model for determining the phonetic transcripts of words, particularly for  $N$  various languages, in order to obtain  $N$  first phoneme sequences corresponding to  $N$  first pronunciation variants per word,
- 25       -           a second processing model for implementing a mapping of the phoneme of each language on the particular phoneme set of the mother tongue,
- a third processing model for applying the mapping, implemented by the second processing module, to  $N$  first phoneme sequences for each word, determined with the first processing model, whereby  $N$  second phoneme sequences  
30       corresponding to  $N$  second pronunciation variants are obtained per word, that can be recognized by the mother tongue language recognizer and

- a fourth processing model for creating a language recognition vocabulary with the  $N$  second phoneme sequences per word obtained by the third processing module for the mother tongue language recognizer.

5 Under a preferred embodiment, the automatic language recognizer has a fifth processing module for processing the  $N$  second phoneme sequences corresponding to the  $N$  second pronunciation variant of each word. The fifth processing module is designed in such a way that each second phoneme sequence is analyzed and classified using suitable distances, particularly the Levenshtein  
10 distance and the  $N$  second phoneme sequences of each word are reduced to a few, preferably two to three, phoneme sequences.

Furthermore, the automatic language recognizer can have a language identifier and a language reducer. The language identifier is connected before the  
15 first processing module and, for each word to be recognized, it determines the probability of it belonging to each of the  $N$  different languages. The language reducer reduces the number of languages to be processed by the first processing module, preferably down to two to three different languages, so that the languages with the least probability are not further processed. The language identifier and  
20 language reducer substantially reduce both the processing effort of the automatic language recognizer, both in the input phase and in the recognition phase.

Preferably, the first processing module has at least one neural network for determining the phonetic transcripts.

25

Furthermore, the mother tongue language recognizer has, in a preferred form of embodiment, a Hidden Markov model that has been created for the language defined as the mother tongue.

30 Turning to FIG. 1, a speaker-related name is selected on a mobile telephone using the names from a telephone book, for a German-speaking user. In the



telephone book, there are in addition to the mainly German-language names, also some foreign-language names. A transcriber for the graphemic representation of the names is set for the German, Italian, Czech, Greek and Turkish languages, overall as  $N = 5$  different languages.

5

In an initial step S0 of FIG. 1, a language identification of the supplied words 10 or entries in the telephone book is undertaken. More precisely, each individual word is analyzed with regard to the probability of it belonging to one of the five languages. If, for example, a German name is being processed, the probability for German is very high. For the other four languages, i.e. Italian, Czech, Greek and Turkish, the probability is much lower. Using the probabilities determined per word, the language with the lowest probability is omitted during subsequent processing. As an example, this means that in the succeeding processing operation there are then only four, instead of five, languages that have to be processed.

15

In a first step S1 of FIG. 1, the phonetic transcript for each word is determined for each of the four different languages. In this way, four phoneme sequences corresponding to the four first pronunciation variants are obtained for each word.

20

In a second step S2 of FIG. 1, a mapping of the phonemes of each of the four languages is implemented to the particular phoneme set of the mother tongue.

In a third step S3 of FIG. 1, this mapping is applied to the four first phoneme sequences 12 obtained in the first step S1. In this way, four second phoneme sequences 14 corresponding to the four second pronunciation variants are obtained for each word. The four second phoneme sequences 14 can already be recognized in a mother tongue language recognizer.

25

30

Furthermore to further reduce the processing effort for the language recognizer, each second phoneme sequence is analyzed and classified for each word using the Levenshtein distance (step S4). A fifth step S5 then takes place, in which the analyzed and classified second phoneme sequences per word are reduced  
5 to three phoneme sequences.

Finally, in a last step S6, a language recognition vocabulary is created for the mother tongue language recognizer with the three second phoneme sequences per word obtained in the fifth step S5. By still further reducing the phoneme  
10 sequences in the fifth step of the method S5, the language recognition vocabulary to be saved and to be analyzed during a language recognition process is substantially reduced. In a practical application of the language recognizer, this has an advantage of having a lower storage capacity requirement and also of a faster processing, because the vocabulary to be searched through is smaller.

15

After the described procedure has been completed, the user can, by means of language recognition, make a name selection, i.e. make a language-controlled call up of stored telephone numbers using the name of the subscriber, without having to explicitly pronounce the name of the subscriber to be called, i.e. without  
20 having to "train".

Furthermore, if a user finds that a certain name is not well recognized, the user can call up the language recognition menu of his mobile telephone and then select a "name selection" application. By means of this application, the user can  
25 now be offered one, or several ways of improving the language recognition of a certain word, or more precisely of a certain name, from the electronic telephone book of the mobile telephone. Some of these possibilities are briefly explained in the following by way of example.

30 1. As an alternate embodiment, the user can again speak the poorly recognized or unrecognized word into the mobile telephone and then have it

converted into a phoneme sequence by means of the language recognizer contained in the mobile telephone. In this case, pronunciation variants previously automatically determined are either completely or partially removed from the vocabulary of the language recognizer, depending on their closeness to the newly  
5 determined phoneme sequence.

2. As yet another alternate embodiment, the user can have a kind of phonetic transcription of the poorly recognized or unrecognized entry in the electronic telephone book shown on the display of the mobile telephone. As an  
10 example, if there is a poor match to the user's pronunciation, the user can edit the kind of phonetic transcription. For example, by an automatic transcription of the entry "Jacques Chirac", "Jakwes Shirak" can be stored as a phonetic transcription. If this phonetic transcription now appears incorrect to the user, he can edit it using his mobile telephone, for example to "Zhak Shirak". The system can then also  
15 determine the phonetic description and reenter this in the language recognition vocabulary. This should enable the automatic language recognition to function reliably.

3. Also, the user can, by an explicit specification of a language from  
20 which a faulty or even unrecognized name originates substantially improve the recognition by an explicit selection of a specific language for a specific name. In such a case, all the pronunciation variants of the name, that are not assigned to the explicitly specified language, are removed from the language recognition vocabulary.

25

In addition, although the invention is described in connection with mobile telephones, it should be readily apparent that the invention may be practiced with any type of communicating device, such as a personal assistant or a PC. It is also understood that the device portions and segments described in the embodiments  
30 above can substituted with equivalent devices to perform the disclosed methods and

processes. Accordingly, the invention is not limited by the foregoing description or drawings, but is only limited by the scope of the appended claims.

### **ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE**

5           The invention relates to an operating method for an automated language recognizer intended for the speaker-independent language recognition of words from different languages, particularly for recognizing names from different languages. The method is based on a language defined as the mother tongue and has an input phase for establishing a language recognizer vocabulary. Phonetic  
10 transcripts are determined for words in various languages in order to obtain phoneme sequences for pronunciation variants. The phonemes of each relevant phoneme set of the mother tongue are then specifically mapped to determine phoneme sequences that correspond to pronunciation variants.

## Marked-Up Version of Substitute Specification

### Description

~~Operating method for an automated language recognizer intended for the~~  
5 ~~speaker-independent language recognition of words in 5 different languages and~~  
~~automated language recognizer.~~

**OPERATING METHOD FOR AN AUTOMATED LANGUAGE**  
**RECOGNIZER INTENDED FOR THE SPEAKER-INDEPENDENT**  
**LANGUAGE RECOGNITION OF WORDS IN DIFFERENT LANGUAGES**  
10 **AND AUTOMATED LANGUAGE RECOGNIZER**

### BACKGROUND

The method relates to an operating method of an automatic language  
15 recognizer for speaker-independent language recognition of words of different  
languages ~~in accordance with Claim 1~~ and a corresponding automatic language  
recognizer ~~in accordance with Claim 6~~.

For phoneme-based language recognition, a language-recognition  
20 vocabulary is ~~necessary that~~required, contains the~~containing~~ phonetic descriptions  
of all the words to be recognized. ~~This is a basic requirement for phoneme-based~~  
~~language recognition. Typically, W~~words in this case are represented by sequences  
or chains of phonemes in the vocabulary. During a language recognition process, a  
search is conducted for the best path through ~~the various~~ phoneme sequences found  
25 ~~in the vocabulary is carried out~~. This search can, for example, take place by means  
of the Viterbi algorithms. For continuous language recognition, the probabilities  
for transitions between words can also be modeled and included in the Viterbi  
algorithm.

30 ~~The A~~ phonetic transcription for the words to be recognized form the basis  
of ~~the~~ phoneme-based language recognition. Therefore, at the start of ~~use of a~~

phoneme-based language recognition process, the first order is to obtain ~~question is~~  
~~always how such~~ phonetic transcripts for the word ~~can be obtained~~. Phonetic  
transcripts ~~in this case means~~ can be generally defined as the phonetic descriptions  
of words from a target vocabulary. ~~This question is~~ Obtaining phonetic transcripts  
5 particularly relevant for words that are not known to the language recognizer.

Mobile or cordless telephones are known that enable speaker-dependent  
name selection. In this case, a ~~A~~ user of such a telephone must ~~in this case~~ train the  
entries contained in the electronic telephone book of the telephone, in order to be  
10 able to subsequently use the name selection by languagespoken word. Normally,  
no other user can use this feature because the speaker-dependent name selection is  
suitable for only one person, i.e. for the person who has trained the language  
selection. To overcome this problem, the entries in the electronic telephone book  
can be changed to phonetic transcripts.

15  
To determine the phonetic transcript from a written word, for example from  
a telephone book entry, various approaches are known in the art. ~~For One~~ example,  
is a ~~the~~ dictating systems that are generally ~~is~~ used with a PC ~~should be mentioned~~.  
With dictating systems of this kind, a lexicon of typically more than 10,000 words  
20 with an allocation of letter sequences to the phoneme sequences is normally stored.  
Because a lexicon of this kind requires a very high storage capacity, it is not  
practical for mobile terminal devices such as mobile or cordless telephones to  
wholly incorporate this configuration.

25 Systems are also known whereby the conversion of a word to its phonetic  
transcript is rule-based, or takes place using specially trained neural networks. As  
with the lexicon, this method also has ~~the one~~ disadvantage that the language in  
which the phoneme sequences to be realized must be specified. In any case, names  
from different languages may be present, particularly in electronic telephone books.  
30 ~~Conversion would then be impossible, or only limited, with the method described~~

~~above.~~ On a mobile device, converting words from different languages would be burdensome to wholly implement under the above configuration.

For ~~this purpose,~~ Other multilingual systems for determining phoneme sequences and language recognition have been developed. These systems enable phoneme sequences to be created from different languages.

Finally ~~there is one other solution, i.e.~~ Under still other configurations, a user speaks the words into a language recognition system that, ~~from these,~~ automatically generates sequences of phonemes. However, ~~For large vocabularies, and also even for just a few dozen words such as for example in (e.g., an electronic telephone book with 80 entries),~~ this is no longer acceptable for the user.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

15

The ~~object of this invention is therefore to propose an~~ present disclosure provides an operating system and method ~~of for~~ an automatic language recognizer for speaker-independent language recognition of words from various languages and also a corresponding automatic language recognizer that is simple to implement, is particularly suitable for use in mobile terminal devices and can be realized at reasonable cost. ~~The object is achieved by an operating method with the features of Claim 1 and by an automatic language recognizer with the features of Claim 6.~~

As an example, a method for voice recognition is provided including the steps of:

(a) determining the phonetic transcripts of words for N various languages, in order to obtain N first phoneme sequences per word corresponding to N first pronunciation variants;

(b) implementing a mapping of the phonemes of each language to the relevant phoneme set of the mother tongue;

(c) using the mapping implemented in step (b) to the N first phoneme sequences for each word determined in step (a), whereby for each word N second phoneme sequences corresponding to N second pronunciation variants are obtained that can be recognized by means of a mother tongue language recognizer; and

5       (d) creation of a language recognition vocabulary with the N second phoneme sequences per word, obtained in the preceding step, for the mother tongue language recognizer.

10       As another example, a system for voice recognition is provided including:  
a mother tongue language recognizer; a first processing module for determining the phonetic transcripts of words for N various languages in each case, in order to obtain N first phoneme sequences for each word corresponding to N first pronunciation variants; a second processing module for implementing a mapping of the phonemes of each language to the particular phoneme set of the mother tongue;  
15       a third processing module for applying the mapping, implemented by means of the second processing module, to the N first phoneme sequences for each word determined by means of the first processing module, with N second phoneme sequences corresponding to N second pronunciation variants being obtained per word, that can be recognized by means of the mother tongue language recognizer;  
20       and a fourth processing module for creating a language recognizable vocabulary with the N second phoneme sequences per word, obtained by the third processing module, for the mother tongue language recognizer.

### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

25

The invention and its wide variety of potential embodiments will be more readily understood through the following detailed description, with reference to the accompanying drawing in which:



FIG. 1 is a schematic flow diagram of the input phase for creation of a language recognition vocabulary in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the invention.

5

## **DETAILED DESCRIPTION**

~~The invention is essentially based on the idea of determining~~Under an exemplary embodiment, phonetic transcripts of words for N various languages in each case is determined and then reprocessed ~~these and applying them~~applied to a phoneme-based monolingual language recognizer. This procedure ~~is essentially based on the knowledge works under the assumption~~ that a user of the voice recognizer normally speaks in his/her mother tongue. ~~He also pronounces~~The user may also pronounce foreign-language words, such as names, with a mother-tongue nuance, (i.e. an accent), that can be roughly modeled by a mother-tongue language recognizer. The operating method is therefore based on a language defined as the mother tongue.

Each language can thus be described with different phonemes suitable for the particular language. It is known, however, that many phonemes in different languages resemble one another. An example of this is the "p" in English and German.

This fact is utilized in multilingual language recognition. In this case a single Hidden Markov model is created for the collection of languages, by means of which several languages can be recognized simultaneously. However, this leads to a very large Hidden Markov model with a lower recognition rate than a monolingual Hidden Markov model. Furthermore, if the collection of languages is extended, for example by a ~~further-secondary~~ language, a new Hidden Markov model has to be created, which is very expensive. ~~The invention avoids this necessity.~~

According to ~~the invention~~ an exemplary embodiment, in a first step of the input phase for creation of a language recognition vocabulary of an operating procedure of an automated language recognizer for speaker-independent language recognition of words from various languages, particularly for the recognition of names from various languages, the phonetic transcripts of words for N various languages are determined in each case, in order to obtain N first phoneme sequences per word corresponding to N first pronunciation variants. In a second step, the similarities between the languages are utilized. To do this, a depiction of the phonemes of each language is implemented on the particular phoneme set of the mother tongue. Furthermore, in a third step the implemented depiction on the N first phoneme sequences determined in the first step is used for each word. In this way, N second phoneme sequences corresponding to N second pronunciation variants are obtained for each word. By means of the mother-tongue language recognizer, a number of N various languages can then, ~~after creating a language-recognition vocabulary using the N second phoneme sequences per word obtained in the preceding step,~~ be recognized for the mother-tongue language recognizer after creating a language-recognition vocabulary using the N second phoneme sequences per word obtained in the preceding step.

~~The invention has the following main advantages. Whereas a look-up method in a lexicon configuration fails with mobile terminal devices because of the large memory requirement and for multilingual language recognition the set of languages was optimized, new Hidden Markov models have to be created and optimized for each new language, by means of the grapheme/phoneme conversion into several languages in accordance with the invention, a multilingual system is created that can be implemented with relatively simple means, that is therefore particularly suitable for use in mobile terminal devices and not least can be realized at reasonable cost. For the invention, all that is essentially required in~~ In addition to the grapheme-to-phoneme conversion, is a mapping, i.e. a depiction between the individual languages, ~~as explained above~~ is implemented. The phoneme sequence determination and the succeeding mapping or depiction normally run offline on a

device, for example a mobile telephone, a personal digital assistant or personal computer with corresponding software, and are therefore time uncritical. The resources required for this can be held in a ~~slow~~an internat/external memory.

5           Because the language recognition vocabulary created by means of the  
aforementioned procedure includes an N pronunciation variant for each word, the  
search effort during language recognition ~~is~~can be great. To reduce this, a further  
step can be introduced ~~into the process~~under the exemplary embodiment, that is  
performed before the creation of the language recognition vocabulary and after  
10   generation of the N second phoneme sequences per word. In this step, the N second  
phoneme sequences are processed corresponding to the N second pronunciation  
variants of each word, in that each second phoneme sequence is analyzed and  
classified by means of suitable distances, particularly the Levenshtein distance, and  
the N second phoneme sequences of each word are reduced to a few, preferably two  
15   to three phoneme sequences, ~~particularly~~in that the pronunciation variants that are  
least similar to the pronunciation variants of the mother tongue are omitted. Simply  
expressed, the least important pronunciation variants are omitted by this reduction,  
thus reducing the search effort during language recognition.

20           A further reduction in cost can be achieved in that a language identification  
and reduction is carried out before the first step. As part of this language  
identification, the probability for each word to be recognized ~~of belonging to each~~  
of the N various languages is determined ~~for each word to be recognized~~. Using  
the results of this language identification, the number of languages to be processed  
25   in the first step of the method is reduced, preferably to two or three different  
languages. ~~This language reduction advantageously takes place in that the~~The  
languages with the least probability are not further processed. For a specific word,  
the result of the language identification can, for example, be as follows: "German  
55%, UK English 16%, US English 14%, Swedish 3%, ... . Under this example, if  
30   only three languages are desired, This result enables a reduction to three different

~~languages to be made, in that Swedish~~ the Swedish language is omitted, i.e. not further processed.

5       The determination of the phonetic transcripts in the first step of the method takes place preferably by means of at least one neural network. Neural networks have proved suitable for determining phonetic transcripts from written words, because they produce good results with regards to accuracy, and particularly with regard to the speed of processing and can be easily implemented, particularly in software.

10

A Hidden Markov model, particularly one that has been created for the language defined as a mother tongue, is ~~particularly~~ suitable for use as a mother tongue language recognizer.

15       ~~The invention also~~ The exemplary embodiment of the invention relates to a language recognizer for speaker-independent language recognition of words from various languages, particularly for recognizing names from various languages. In this case, one of the various languages is defined as the mother tongue. The language recognizer includes:

- 20           - a mother tongue language recognizer,
- a first processing model for determining the phonetic transcripts of words, particularly for N various languages, in order to obtain N first phoneme sequences corresponding to N first pronunciation variants per word,
- a second processing model for implementing a mapping of the
- 25   phoneme of each language on the particular phoneme set of the mother tongue,
- a third processing model for applying the mapping, implemented by the second processing module, to N first phoneme sequences for each word, determined with the first processing model, whereby N second phoneme sequences corresponding to N second pronunciation variants are obtained per word, that can
- 30   be recognized by the mother tongue language recognizer and

- a fourth processing model for creating a language recognition vocabulary with the N second phoneme sequences per word obtained by the third processing module for the mother tongue language recognizer.

5        ~~In-Under~~ a preferred form of the embodiment, the automatic language recognizer has a fifth processing module for processing the N second phoneme sequences corresponding to the N second pronunciation variant of each word. The fifth processing module is designed in such a way that each second phoneme sequence is analyzed and classified using suitable distances, particularly the  
10        Levenshtein distance and the N second phoneme sequences of each word are reduced to a few, preferably two to three, phoneme sequences.

             Furthermore, the automatic language recognizer can have a language identifier and a language reducer. The language identifier is connected before the  
15        first processing module and, for each word to be recognized, it determines the probability of it belonging to each of the N different languages. The language reducer reduces the number of languages to be processed by the first processing module, preferably down to two to three different languages, ~~in-so~~ that the languages with the least probability are not further processed. The language  
20        identifier and language reducer substantially reduce both the processing effort of the automatic language recognizer, both in the input phase and in the recognition phase.

             Preferably, the first processing module has at least one neural network for  
25        determining the phonetic transcripts.

~~Finally~~Furthermore, the mother tongue language recognizer has, in a preferred form of embodiment, a Hidden Markov model that has been created for the language defined as the mother tongue.

30

~~Advantages and suitabilities of the invention are given in the following description of an example of an embodiment of the invention, using a single illustration. This shows a schematic flow diagram of the input phase for creation of a language recognition vocabulary in accordance with the invention.~~

5

Turning to FIG. 1, Aa speaker-related name is ~~to be~~ selected on a mobile telephone using the names from ~~the a~~ telephone book, for a German-speaking user. In the telephone book, there are in addition to the mainly German-language names, also some foreign-language names. A transcriber for the graphemic representation  
10 of the names is set for the German, Italian, Czech, Greek and Turkish languages, overall as  $N = 5$  different languages.

In an initial step S0 of FIG. 1, a language identification of the supplied words 10 or entries in the telephone book is undertaken. More precisely, each  
15 individual word is analyzed with regard to the probability of it belonging to one of the five languages. If, for example, a German name is being processed, the probability for German is very high; ~~for~~ for the other four languages, i.e. Italian, Czech, Greek and Turkish, the probability is ~~very~~ much lower. Using the probabilities determined per word, the language with the lowest probability is  
20 omitted during ~~the further~~ subsequent processing. ~~This As an example, this means~~ that in the succeeding processing operation there are then only four, instead of five, languages that have to be processed.

In a first step ~~of the method~~ S1 of FIG. 1, the phonetic transcript for each  
25 word is determined for each of the four different languages. In this way, four phoneme sequences corresponding to the four first pronunciation variants are obtained for each word.

In a second step ~~of the method~~ S2 of FIG. 1, a mapping of the phonemes of  
30 each of the four languages is implemented to the particular phoneme set of the mother tongue.

In a third step ~~of the method S3~~ of FIG. 1, this mapping is applied to the four first phoneme sequences 12 obtained in the first step ~~of the method S1~~. In this way, four second phoneme sequences 14 corresponding to the four second pronunciation variants are obtained for each word. The four second phoneme sequences 14 can already be recognized in a mother tongue language recognizer.

Furthermore to further reduce the processing effort for the language recognizer, each second phoneme sequence is analyzed and classified for each word using the Levenshtein distance (step S4). A fifth step ~~of the method S5~~ then takes place, in which the analyzed and classified second phoneme sequences per word are reduced to three phoneme sequences.

Finally, in a last step S6, a language recognition vocabulary is created for the mother tongue language recognizer with the three second phoneme sequences per word obtained in the fifth step ~~of the method S5~~. By ~~again still further~~ reducing the phoneme sequences in the fifth step of the method S5, the language recognition vocabulary to be saved and to be analyzed during a language recognition process is substantially reduced. In a practical application of the language recognizer, this has the an advantage ~~on the one hand of having~~ a lower storage capacity requirement and ~~on the other hand also~~ of a faster processing, because the vocabulary to be searched through is smaller.

After the described procedure has been completed, the user can, by means of language recognition, make a name selection, i.e. make a language-controlled call up of stored telephone numbers using the name of the subscriber, without having to ~~once~~ explicitly pronounce the name of the subscriber to be called, i.e. without having to "train".

~~The following is a brief explanation of what the user of the mobile telephone can do to improve language recognition.~~ Furthermore, if a user ~~If he finds~~

that a certain name is not well recognized, ~~he~~the user can call up the language recognition menu of his mobile telephone and then select ~~the~~a "name selection" application. By means of this application, ~~he~~the user can now be offered one, or several, ways of improving the language recognition of a certain word, or more  
5 precisely of a certain name, from the electronic telephone book of the mobile telephone. Some of these possibilities are briefly explained in the following by way of example.

1. As an alternate embodiment, ~~T~~the user can again speak the poorly  
10 recognized or unrecognized word into the mobile telephone and then have it converted into a phoneme sequence by means of the language recognizer contained in the mobile telephone. In this case, pronunciation variants previously automatically determined are either completely or partially, ~~depending on their~~  
~~closeness to the newly determined phoneme sequence,~~ removed from the  
15 vocabulary of the language recognizer, depending on their closeness to the newly determined phoneme sequence.

2. Alternatively~~As yet another alternate embodiment,~~ the user can have a kind of phonetic transcription of the poorly recognized or unrecognized entry in  
20 the electronic telephone book shown on the display of the mobile telephone. ~~If it is inappropriate, i.e.~~As an example, if there is a poor match to ~~his~~the user's pronunciation, the user can edit the kind of phonetic transcription. For example, by an automatic transcription of the entry "Jacques Chirac", "Jakwes Shirak" can be stored as a phonetic transcription. If this phonetic transcription now appears  
25 incorrect to the user, he can edit it using his mobile telephone, for example to "Zhak Shirak". The system can then also determine the phonetic description and re-enter this in the language recognition vocabulary. This should enable the automatic language recognition to function reliably.

30 3. ~~Finally~~Also, the user can, by an explicit specification of a language from which a faulty or even unrecognized name originates substantially improve



the recognition by an explicit selection of a specific language for a specific name. In such a case, all the pronunciation variants of the name, that are not assigned to the explicitly specified language, are removed from the language recognition vocabulary.

5

~~The invention can also be advantageously used, i.e. installed, in other mobile devices apart from a mobile telephone, e.g. a personal assistant or a personal computer.~~In addition, although the invention is described in connection with mobile telephones, it should be readily apparent that the invention may be practiced with any type of communicating device, such as a personal assistant or a PC. It is also understood that the device portions and segments described in the embodiments above can substituted with equivalent devices to perform the disclosed methods and processes. Accordingly, the invention is not limited by the foregoing description or drawings, but is only limited by the scope of the appended  
15 claims.

### **ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE**

The invention relates to an operating method for an automated language recognizer intended for the speaker-independent language recognition of words  
20 (10) from different languages, particularly for recognizing names from different languages. ~~Said~~The method is based on a language defined as the mother tongue and has an input phase for establishing a language recognizer vocabulary. Phonetic transcripts are determined for words in various languages in order to obtain phoneme sequences for pronunciation variants. The phonemes of each relevant  
25 phoneme set of the mother tongue are then specifically mapped to determine phoneme sequences that correspond to pronunciation variants.

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

**Listing of claims:**

Claims 1-11.: (canceled).

5           Claim 12.:   (new)   A method for automated language recognition of words from different languages said method comprising the steps of:

          (a) loading a phoneme set associated with a language specified as a mother tongue into a mother tongue language recognizer;

          (b) determining the phonetic transcripts of each word for  $N$  various  
10 languages not specified as the mother tongue to obtain  $N$  first phoneme sequences for each word corresponding to  $N$  first pronunciation variants;

          (c) calculating a phoneme map by mapping the first phoneme sequences of each of said  $N$  languages to a relevant phoneme set of the mother tongue;

          (d) determining  $N$  second phoneme sequences corresponding to  $N$  second  
15 pronunciation variants from said phoneme map for each word; and

          (e) processing said  $N$  second phoneme sequences with the phoneme set associated with the language specified as a mother tongue to identify matching or similar words.

20           Claim 13.   (new)   The method according to Claim 12, further comprising a step of adding the  $N$  second phoneme sequences for each word in a language recognition vocabulary located in the mother tongue language recognizer.

          Claim 14.   (new)   The method according to Claim 12, further  
25 comprising the step of processing the  $N$  second phoneme sequences to determine distances to the  $N$  second pronunciation variants.

          Claim 15.   (new)   The method according to Claim 14, further  
30 comprising a step of classifying each  $N$  second phoneme sequences to identify respective distances.

Claim 16. (new) The method according to Claim 15, further comprising a step of eliminating any  $N$  second phoneme sequences that do not meet or exceed a predetermined threshold.

5 Claim 17. (new) The method according to Claim 16, wherein the distances are Leveshtein distances.

Claim 18. (new) The method according to Claim 12, further comprising the step of determining the probabilities that each word for  $N$  various  
10 languages not specified as the mother tongue belong to a specified set of languages, said step of determining probabilities occurring before step (a).

Claim 19. (new) The method according to Claim 18, further comprising the step of eliminating languages from said specified set that do not  
15 meet or exceed a predetermined threshold.

Claim 20. (new) The method according to Claim 12, wherein the step of determining the phonetic transcripts of each word for  $N$  various languages not specified as the mother tongue is performed by at least one neural network.

20

Claim 21. (new) The method according to Claim 12, wherein processing said  $N$  second phoneme sequences with the phoneme set associated with the language specified as a mother tongue is performed via a Hidden Markov Model.

25

Claim 22. (new) An automatic language recognizing apparatus, receiving words from various languages, comprising:

a mother tongue language recognizer, said recognizer storing a phoneme set of a predetermined mother tongue;

a first processing module for determining the phonetic transcripts of words from  $N$  various languages in order to obtain  $N$  first phoneme sequences for each word corresponding to  $N$  first pronunciation variants;

5 a second processing module for implementing a mapping of the phonemes of each of  $N$  language to a particular phoneme set of the mother tongue;

a third processing module for applying the mapping, implemented by means of the second processing module, to the  $N$  first phoneme sequences for each word determined by means of the first processing module, with  $N$  second phoneme sequences corresponding to  $N$  second pronunciation variants being obtained per word, that can be recognized by means of the mother tongue language recognizer;  
10 and

a fourth processing module for creating a language recognizable vocabulary with the  $N$  second phoneme sequences per word, obtained by the third processing module, for the mother tongue language recognizer.

15

Claim 23. (new) The automatic language recognizing apparatus according to claim 22, further comprising a fifth processing module for processing the  $N$  second phoneme sequences corresponding to the  $N$  second pronunciation variants of each word to obtain distances for each  $N$  second phoneme sequence.

20

Claim 24 (new) The automatic language recognizing apparatus according to claim 23, wherein said distances are Levenshtein distances.

Claim 25. (new) The automatic language recognizing apparatus  
25 according to claim 24, wherein the  $N$  second phoneme sequence distances not meeting or exceeding a predetermined threshold are eliminated from further processing.

Claim 26. (new) The automatic language recognizing apparatus  
30 according to claim 22, further comprising a language identifier, coupled to the first

processing module, wherein the language identifier determines a probability of each word belonging to each of the  $N$  different languages.

5           Claim 27.     (new)   The automatic language recognizing apparatus according to claim 26, further comprising a language reducer that reduces the number of languages from the first processing module to be processed if said probability does not meet or exceed a predetermined thresholds.

10           Claim 28.     (new)   The automatic language recognizing apparatus according to claim 22, wherein the first processing module comprises at least one neural network for determining the phonetic transcripts.

15           Claim 29.     (new)   The automatic language recognizing apparatus according to claim 22, wherein the mother tongue language recognizer comprises a Hidden Markov model that has been created for the language defined as the mother tongue.

**Amendment to the Drawings:**

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to FIG. 1. This sheet replaces the original sheet showing FIG. 1. The Drawings were amended to include  
5 the heading "FIG. 1" as shown.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet